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Excellencies,
Distinguished Delegates,
Esteemed Panellists,
Ladies and Gentlemen,

- New digital technologies hold enormous promise to improve the lives of people everywhere.
- But without effective governance, these technologies can also pose significant societal risks, for example to privacy, competition and, indeed, innovation itself.
- Striking a policy balance between fostering entrepreneurial innovation and harnessing technology for the public good represents an enormous challenge, in developing and developed countries alike.
- Looking at examples of thriving innovation sectors around the world, we see the importance of an integrated, forward-thinking policy approach, which anticipates both the market’s needs, as well as the incentives that steer activity towards beneficial societal outcomes.
- This policy approach must ultimately reinforce a well-functioning innovation system, which:
  - Serves to create and diffuse knowledge, technologies and innovations among all stakeholders; and
• Is typically embedded in a large city or industrial area.

• The obvious example is Silicon Valley in California.

• Many countries have tried to copy the Silicon Valley model, but few have succeeded, as simple cut-and-paste solutions ignore a country or region's specific context, including its:
  o Industrial and technological development;
  o Production structure;
  o As well as culture and history.

• In the case of developing countries, particularly LDCs, an innovation system must also respond to the additional challenges they face, such as:
  o Weak capabilities;
  o Many small firms that invest little in technology and innovation;
  o The prevalence of the informal sector;
  o Difficulties with access to finance
  o Weak infrastructure, such as inadequate electricity; and
  o Ineffective policy frameworks.

• UNCTAD works with developing countries on science, technology and innovation (STI) policy to help overcome these challenges.

• At a policy level, we tend to assist them in coordinating the interrelated areas of competition, intellectual property and innovation.

• In principle, fostering competition encourages innovation. In parallel, many countries seek to create incentives for innovation by protecting innovators’ intellectual property rights, ensuring a stable environment for private investment in research, development and innovation.

• However, there are many examples of protection going too far, and serving to block innovation or the diffusion of new technologies, depriving the wider public of their benefits.

• UNCTAD has long argued that intellectual property should be a tool for development, not an end in itself – and therefore that competition and innovation policy should align on this principle.
• There is major disagreement on where that balance should be struck.

• Some argue that intellectual property rights are too strong and work against the public good.

• Others argue that intellectual property must be treated industry-by-industry:
  
  ○ In pharmaceuticals, for example, protection is critical to reward large research and development spending needed to develop a new drug.
  
  ○ Meanwhile, in other industries, the role of intellectual property protection in rewarding innovation may be less important.

• A third argument is for intellectual property systems that are adapted to a country’s level of development.

• Despite these divergent proposals on how to account for countries’ different contexts, the current approach is to have a single global standard that applies to all countries and industries.

• At a minimum, UNCTAD believes that there should continue to be special and differential treatment for LDCs, which often lack the means to assert their priorities in the intellectual property debate.

• We hope that the debates at this conference advance the consensus on what other nuanced approaches could respond to countries’ different contexts, as well as mitigating risks associated with new technologies – all to ensure that the benefits of technology have the broadest impact on people’s lives.

• Thank you for your attention.